

**From:** Arlington Public Schools <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 31, 2024 6:21 PM EST  
**To:** shayma [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Recent Canvas Notifications

**CAUTION** This email originated from outside of Arlington Public Schools. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

You're signed up to receive a daily report of some notifications from your Canvas account. Below is the report for Jan 31:

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 30 at 9:55pm

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 30 at 10:21pm

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 30 at 10:48pm

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 30 at 11:49pm

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 12:11am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 8:21am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 8:34am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 10:48am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** [REDACTED] **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 11:12am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** Personally Identifiable Information **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 11:39am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** Personally Identifiable Information **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 11:48am

[Click to view](#)

**Submission:** Personally Identifiable Information **South Africa vs. Israel at The Hague - Analysis of Rhetorical Appeals and Logical Fallacies**

turned in: Jan 31 at 4:40pm

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. To what extent does Israel or South Africa's use of red herrings weaken their argument? 2. How does South Africa or Israel use ethos to strengthen the credibility of their argument? 3. Does Sou...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* What purpose does the use of the word "defend" or "self-defense" have when used by the Israeli legal team? \* How is the Red Herring Fallacy used by both legal teams to shape victim/agressor rela...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* In what ways does the use of fallacies within the arguments on both sides represent a sense of misinformation? \* How does the rhetorical appeal of ethos contribute to the strength of the argume...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How does Israel use the Holocaust to victimize themselves show an example of a logical fallacy? \* How do both sides use pathos to try and convince the court as well as watchers? \* How does So...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How do people like Galit Ragan utilize rhetoric and logical fallacies to build the argument that Israel should not be guilty of genocide? \* How does Gilad Erdan attempt to sway the court with l...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* What impact does the use of pathos have on the audience and why would Israel use it? \* How does the use of logical fallacies like ad hominem affect the audience's perception of the line of argum...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How does the South African legal team use language to present its position and what rhetorical strategies do they utilize? \* How does the Israeli legal team use language to counter South Africa...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* In what ways are logical fallacies used to impact the arguments made by South Africa and Israel? \* What is the intended audience for the arguments made by South Africa and Israel and how does ...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How was pathos used in the Al-Jazeera articles to support the claims of the governments of South Africa, Israel, and Palestine? \* Does the website seem to be biased towards either side or unbi...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* What is the significance and impact of South Africa's arguments using Ethos? \* What are the differences in language used between South Africa and Israeli legal teams? \* What type of rhetorical...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How do both the speakers from South Africa and Israel use diction to demonize the opposing side? \* How does the Israeli speaker use the history of Jewish people to advance their point and messag...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* To what extent is pathos the most effective tool for spreading awareness about the genocide or is logos more effective? \* Can appeal to hypocrisy be seen with South Africa's argument. \* What...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How is South Africa using rhetorical elements such as logos, pathos, and ethos to spread either information or disinformation? \* How do the logical fallacies used by Israel represent their vie...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

3. Both Israel and South Africa use rhetorical appeals in their arguments. Israel focuses on pathos by comparing the charges of genocide to the Holocaust, and tries to paint themselves as the victi...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How does the use of Pathos contribute to the arguments made by both South African and Israeli legal teams? \* In what ways is Red Herring used by lawyers representing Israel, and how do Israel...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* How can we distinguish between valid use of pathos and invalid use of fallacious appeal to pity/emotion, with reference

to examples from the case of South Africa vs. Israel at the ICJ. \* How d...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

2. One way that Israel uses ethos to strengthen the credibility of their argument is by stating the names of all of the people who made statements during the hearing. An example can be seen in the ...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. In a video on the "ICJ Updates" page of Al Jazeera, Galit Rague, a lawyer on Israel's legal team for the case, uses a red herring to place blame for present violence on the past actions of Hama...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

3. South Africa tends to use more logos than Israel since they are trying to justify genocide while Israel is trying to move away from the idea. As a result, when South Africa provides logical evid...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

\* What rhetorical appeals have you noticed be used by either side, and what effect do they have on that sides argument?  
\* The Israeli legal team uses the tu quoque fallacy multiple times. What imp...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

Which rhetorical appeal (ethos, logos, pathos), is most effectively used by each legal team? The use of logos appears to be used most effectively by the South African legal team. This can be seen ...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. Israel makes the argument that they have experienced genocide from the Holocaust and because they have experienced genocide then why would they do this to others. I think this can be an example ...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. How is the use of loaded language by both sides from the conflict can influence people's opinion on it? 2. Does the use of a more stylized language have any effect on the audience's reception o...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. Israel's response to South Africa includes logical fallacies such as Ad Hominem and False Cause. The Ad Hominem attacks South Africa stating that its commitment to humanity "rang hollow" and acc...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. The purpose of the use of the terms "defend" and "self defense" in the Israeli legal team's case is to persuade the reader that the country of Israel is the victim and had no other choice but to...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

Responding to Q3: The South African legal team most effectively uses pathos because they use evidence of death tolls and especially the imagery of harmed children as a method to appeal to the read...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

Pathos influence audience to feel emotions that will allow them to support their argument. The use of pathos was likely used by Israel because they wanted to garner support from the public by drawi...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. How did the language the two sides used to pose their arguments reveal what they each value most? 2. How did the two sides shape their arguments around their respective audiences, and why do yo...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. There is a large extent that Israel utilizes red herrings in their argument, which ultimately weakens their stance. For example, Gilad Erdan, the Israeli ambassador to the UN, uses a red herring...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

3. I believe that pathos is the most effective rhetorical appeal used by both legal teams. For South Africa, they accuse that Israel is "destroying homes of innocent families, and cutting off food..."

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

2. I found that the legal team of South Africa incorporated the use of ethos in order to strengthen their argument. In the quote, "according to the World Food Program 4 out of 5 people in the world..."

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

1. How does the Israeli legal teams language demonstrate how their values compared to the language used by the South African legal teams? 2. How do both Israeli legal teams and South African use i...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

3. Both South Africa and Israel have a very confident tone which can confuse their arguments to an outside reader because it is not easy to judge simply by the way they are speaking. However, one d...

[Click to view](#)

**New Comment for 4th Period Discussion: South Africa v. Israel at The Hague: IB English Language & Literature SL Part II-AI-Hanooti-YR-2024**

3. Logical fallacies such as False Analogy and Appeal to Emotion are used in South Africa's argument. For False Analogy, a separate case, The Gambia vs Myanmar is used to try and argue that a simil...

[Click to view](#)